

7-1-2023

Topological Explanation of Why Complex Numbers Are Needed in Quantum Physics

Julio C. Urenda

The University of Texas at El Paso, jcurenda@utep.edu

Vladik Kreinovich

The University of Texas at El Paso, vladik@utep.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utep.edu/cs_techrep



Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#), and the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Comments:

Technical Report: UTEP-CS-23-44

Recommended Citation

Urenda, Julio C. and Kreinovich, Vladik, "Topological Explanation of Why Complex Numbers Are Needed in Quantum Physics" (2023). *Departmental Technical Reports (CS)*. 1829.

https://scholarworks.utep.edu/cs_techrep/1829

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Computer Science at ScholarWorks@UTEP. It has been accepted for inclusion in Departmental Technical Reports (CS) by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UTEP. For more information, please contact lweber@utep.edu.

Topological Explanation of Why Complex Numbers Are Needed in Quantum Physics

Julio C. Urenda and Vladik Kreinovich

Abstract In quantum computing, we only use states in which all amplitudes are real numbers. So why do we need complex numbers with non-zero imaginary part in quantum physics in general? In this paper, we provide a simple topological explanation for this need, explanation based on the Second Law of Thermodynamics.

1 Formulation of the Problem

Quantum physics uses general complex numbers. In general, in quantum mechanics, we use complex numbers; see, e.g., [1, 4]. Specifically, if we have classical states s_1, \dots, s_n , then, in quantum physics, we can also have their *superpositions*, i.e., states of the type

$$a_1 \cdot |s_1\rangle + \dots + a_n \cdot |s_n\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n – known as *amplitudes* – are complex numbers for which

$$|a_1|^2 + \dots + |a_n|^2 = 1. \quad (2)$$

In some physical systems, the amplitudes are real numbers, in others, the corresponding amplitudes have non-zero imaginary parts.

Quantum computing only uses real numbers. One of the exciting applications of quantum physics to computing is quantum computing; see, e.g., [3]. By using

Julio C. Urenda

Departments of Mathematical Science and of Computer Science, University of Texas at El Paso
500 W. University, El Paso, Texas 79968, USA, e-mail: jcurenda@utep.edu

Vladik Kreinovich

Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at El Paso, 500 W. University
El Paso, Texas 79968, USA, e-mail: vladik@utep.edu

quantum effects, many efficient algorithms have been invented. Interestingly, all efficient quantum algorithms invented so far only use real-valued amplitudes.

Natural question. The fact that quantum computing only uses real-valued amplitudes prompts the following question: since with only real-valued amplitudes, we can achieve wonders in quantum computing, why does nature need non-real complex values?

What we do in this paper. In this paper, we provide a simple topological explanation for the need for non-real complex numbers. This explanation is based on the general ideas behind the Second Law of Thermodynamics; see, e.g., [1, 4].

2 Second Law of Thermodynamics: A Brief Reminder

General description. According to the Second Law of Thermodynamics, in a closed system, the entropy increases with time – and eventually, the system will reach a stable state, in which there are no more changes.

Clarification. Of course, the above statement needs some clarification:

- First, from the mathematical viewpoint, the system never reaches exactly the stable state, it only asymptotically approaches this state. However, from the physical viewpoint, asymptotical approaching means, in particular, that eventually the actual state becomes so close to the stable state that we cannot distinguish these two states. So, from the observational, physical viewpoint, we *do* reach the final state.
- Second, reaching the stable state may require a large amount of time. For some systems – e.g., for stars – reaching the thermodynamical equilibrium requires billions of year, time period almost as large as the lifetime of the Universe.

3 Resulting Explanation

Let us consider the simplest of non-trivial situations. The more classical states the system has, the more complex its description. Thus, the simplest case is when a system has only one classical state. However, in this case, the physics is trivial: the state does not change in time at all.

The simplest non-trivial case is when the system has two classical state. Without losing generality, we can call one state 0 and the other state 1. In this case, in line with the above general description of quantum states, the possible states of the corresponding quantum system have the form

$$a_0 \cdot |0\rangle + a_1 \cdot |1\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where the complex values a_i must satisfy the condition

$$|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1. \quad (4)$$

In general, the amplitudes a_i are complex numbers, i.e., $a_i = b_i + c_i \cdot i$ for some real numbers b_i and c_i and $i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{-1}$. In terms of these real numbers, the condition (4) takes the form

$$b_0^2 + c_0^2 + b_1^2 + c_1^2 = 1. \quad (5)$$

So, in geometric terms, possible states (b_0, c_0, b_1, c_1) form a unit sphere in a 4-D Euclidean space.

Comment. States (3) are a quantum analogue of the states of a 2-state system. In computing, a 2-state system – especially the one where states are called 0 and 1 – is called a *binary digit*, or *bit*, for short. Because of this, in quantum computing, states of type (3) are called *quantum bits*, or *qubits*, for short.

What if we only have real-valued amplitudes. If all amplitudes are real, i.e., if $c_0 = c_1 = 0$, then the condition (5) takes the following simplified form:

$$b_0^2 + b_1^2 = 1. \quad (6)$$

Such states form a unit circle in a plane.

How the state of a qubit changes with time t : simple case. As we have mentioned, in real-valued case, each state of a qubit corresponds to a point in a unit circle. The change in a system corresponds to the point moving along the circle.

One of the possible situations in when the state rotates the central point with the constant speed. In this case, for some values ω and φ , we have

$$a_0 = \cos(\omega \cdot t + \varphi) \text{ and } a_1 = \sin(\omega \cdot t + \varphi). \quad (7)$$

The Second Law of Thermodynamics leads to the desired explanation. According to the Second Law of Thermodynamics, the system (7) – as any other system – should eventually stop changing. In other words, this system should go into some state s that does not change with time.

If we only allow real-valued amplitudes, then all possible states correspond to points on a circle. In particular, the final state s corresponds to some point on a unit circle.

Transition in physical systems are usually continuous. So, we should have a continuous transformation of a circle into itself that would transform the circle into a single point. This clearly is not possible. In topology, this simple fact is described by saying that the homotopy group of the circle is non-trivial; see, e.g., [2].

However, if we allow general complex-valued amplitudes, then such a continuous transition *is* possible: since a circle on a multi-D sphere (already on a 2-D sphere) can be continuously compressed into a point. In topological sense, the homotopy group of the 3-D sphere is trivial.

So, the only way for this simple quantum system to be in accordance with the Second Law of Thermodynamics is to allow complex-valued amplitudes, with non-zero imaginary parts. This explains why such amplitudes are needed in quantum physics – while they seem to be not needed in quantum computing.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grants 1623190 (A Model of Change for Preparing a New Generation for Professional Practice in Computer Science), HRD-1834620 and HRD-2034030 (CAHSI Includes), EAR-2225395, and by the AT&T Fellowship in Information Technology.

It was also supported by the program of the development of the Scientific-Educational Mathematical Center of Volga Federal District No. 075-02-2020-1478, and by a grant from the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NRDI).

One of the authors (VK) wants to thank all the participants of the Workshop on the Applications of Topology to Quantum Theory And Behavioral Economics (Fields Institute, University of Toronto, March 23–24, 2023), especially Dr. Graciela Chilchilnisky (Columbia University and Stanford University), for valuable discussions.

References

1. R. Feynman, R. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, Addison Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, 2005.
2. J. Munkres, *Topology*, Pearson, New York, 2017.
3. M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2011.
4. K. S. Thorne and R. D. Blandford, *Modern Classical Physics: Optics, Fluids, Plasmas, Elasticity, Relativity, and Statistical Physics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 2021.