

2-2013

# F-transform in View of Aggregation Functions

Irina Perfilieva

*University of Ostrava, Irina.Perfilieva@osu.cz*

Vladik Kreinovich

*University of Texas at El Paso, vladik@utep.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.utep.edu/cs\\_techrep](http://digitalcommons.utep.edu/cs_techrep)



Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#)

Comments:

Technical Report: UTEP-CS-13-05

---

## Recommended Citation

Perfilieva, Irina and Kreinovich, Vladik, "F-transform in View of Aggregation Functions" (2013). *Departmental Technical Reports (CS)*. 748.

[http://digitalcommons.utep.edu/cs\\_techrep/748](http://digitalcommons.utep.edu/cs_techrep/748)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Computer Science at DigitalCommons@UTEP. It has been accepted for inclusion in Departmental Technical Reports (CS) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UTEP. For more information, please contact [lweber@utep.edu](mailto:lweber@utep.edu).

# F-transform in View of Aggregation Functions

Irina Perfilieva and Vladik Krejnovich

**Abstract** A relationship between the discrete F-transform and aggregation functions is analyzed. We show that the discrete F-transform (direct or inverse) can be associated with a set of linear aggregation functions that respect a fuzzy partition of a universe. On the other side, we discover conditions that should be added to a set of linear aggregation functions in order to obtain the discrete F-transform. Last but not least, the relationship between two analyzed notions is based on a new (generalized) definition of a fuzzy partition without the Ruspini condition.

## 1 Introduction

In the last ten years, the theory of F-transforms has been intensively developed in many directions and especially in connection with image processing. The following topics have been newly elaborated on the *F-transform* platform: image compression and reconstruction [1, 2, 3], image reduction and sharpening [4, 5], edge detection [6, 7], etc. On the other side, similar applications can be produced with the help of *aggregation functions*, see e.g., [8, 9]. The goal of this contribution is to discover a relationship between both notions.

---

Irina Perfilieva  
Centre of Excellence IT4Innovations,  
division of the University of Ostrava  
Institute for Research and Applications of Fuzzy Modelling,  
30. dubna 22, 701 03 Ostrava 1, Czech Republic  
e-mail: Irina.Perfilieva@osu.cz

Vladik Krejnovich  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Texas at El Paso  
500 W. University, El Paso, TX 79968, USA  
e-mail: vladik@utep.edu

To comment the goal, we notice that it is not difficult to show that the discrete F-transform (direct or inverse) can be associated with a set of linear aggregation functions. However, the opposite characterization is not so obvious. In this contribution, we found conditions that should be added to a set of linear aggregation functions of the same number of variables in order to obtain the discrete F-transform. Last but not least, the proposed relationship between two notions is based on a new (generalized) definition of a fuzzy partition without the Ruspini condition.

We believe that this investigation contributes to a mutual success of both theories.

## 2 F-transform on a Generalized Fuzzy Partition

The F-transform technique was introduced in [10]. Below we remind its main principles for the so called *discrete* functions. The latter means that an original function  $f$  is known (may be computed) on a finite set  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_l\} \subseteq [a, b]$ . The interval  $[a, b]$  will be considered as a universe of discourse that is partitioned into  $n \leq 3$  fuzzy sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . We identify fuzzy sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  with their membership functions that map  $[a, b]$  onto  $[0, 1]$  and call them *basic functions*.

### 2.1 Generalized Fuzzy Partition

The following is a new definition of a *generalized fuzzy partition* which differs from that in [10] by using a smaller number of axioms.

**Definition 1.** Let  $[a, b]$  be an interval on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $n > 2$ , and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be nodes such that  $a \leq x_1 < \dots < x_n \leq b$ . Let  $[a, b]$  be covered by the intervals  $[x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k] \subseteq [a, b]$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , such that their left and right margins  $h'_k, h''_k \geq 0$  fulfill  $h'_k + h''_k > 0$ .

We say that fuzzy sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  constitute a *generalized fuzzy partition* of  $[a, b]$  (with nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and margins  $h'_k, h''_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), if for every  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , the following three conditions are fulfilled:

1. (*locality*) —  $A_k(x) > 0$  if  $x \in (x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k)$ , and  $A_k(x) = 0$  if  $x \in [a, b] \setminus (x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k)$ ;
2. (*continuity*) —  $A_k$  is continuous on  $[x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k]$ ;
3. (*covering*) — for  $x \in [a, b]$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x) > 0$ .

We say that fuzzy sets  $I_1, \dots, I_n : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  constitute a *(0-1)-generalized partition* of  $[a, b]$  with nodes and margins as above, if for every  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $I_k$  fulfills (*locality*) as above, (*continuity*) on  $(x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k)$  and (*covering*) as above.

If nodes and margins are the same for generalized fuzzy and *(0-1)*-partitions  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ , respectively, then we say that the latter is a “mask” of the former.

It is worth to remark that given nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and margins  $h'_k, h''_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , within  $[a, b]$ , a  $(0-1)$ -generalized partition  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  of  $[a, b]$  is uniquely determined.

We say that a generalized fuzzy partition  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $[a, b]$  with nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and margins  $h'_k, h''_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , is *centered at nodes* if basic functions are bell-shaped, i.e. for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $A_k$  is monotonically increasing on  $[x_k - h'_k, x_k]$  and monotonically decreasing on  $[x_k, x_k + h''_k]$ .

Further on, the word “generalized” in characterization of fuzzy partitions will be omitted and left only when this fact is essential.

## 2.2 Discrete F-transform

We assume that a discrete function  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]^1$  on a finite domain  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_l\}$ ,  $P \subseteq [a, b]$ , is given and that  $P$  is *sufficiently dense with respect to a fixed partition*  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , of  $[a, b]$ , i.e.,

$$(\forall k)(\exists j)A_k(p_j) > 0.$$

Then, the (discrete) F-transform of  $f$  and its inverse are defined as follows.

**Definition 2.** Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , for  $n > 2$ , be basic functions that form a generalized fuzzy partition of  $[a, b]$ , and let function  $f$  be defined on the set  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_l\} \subseteq [a, b]$ , which is sufficiently dense with respect to the partition. We assume that  $n \leq l$ . The  $n$ -tuple of real numbers  $F_n[f] = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  is the *discrete F-transform* of  $f$  with respect to  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  if

$$F_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^l f(p_j)A_k(p_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^l A_k(p_j)}, k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

The *inverse F-transform*  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  is a function that is defined on the same set  $P$  as above and represented by the following inversion formula:

$$\hat{f}(p_j) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n F_k A_k(p_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^n A_k(p_j)}, j = 1, \dots, l. \quad (2)$$

Assume that the elements of  $P$  are numbered in accordance with their order, i.e.,  $p_1 < \dots < p_l$ . Denote  $P_k = \{p_j | A_k(p_j) > 0\}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Because  $P$  is sufficiently dense with respect to  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , each set  $P_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  is not empty. Moreover, from the property *locality* it follows that for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , there exist integers  $k_1, k_2$  such that  $1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq l$  and  $P_k = \{p_j | k_1 \leq j \leq k_2\}$ . We say that  $P_k$  is covered by  $A_k$  or  $A_k$  covers  $P_k$ .

Let us identify the function  $f$  on  $P$  with the  $l$ -dimensional vector  $(f_1, \dots, f_l) \in [0, 1]^l$  of its values such that  $f_j = f(p_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ . Because  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  is a fixed partition of  $[a, b]$  and  $f$  is an arbitrary function on  $P$ , the F-transform  $F_n[f]$  of  $f$  can

<sup>1</sup> The restriction of the range of  $f$  to  $[0, 1]$  is not principal and was assigned due to further correspondence with aggregation functions.

be considered as a result of a linear map  $F_n[f] : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]^n$  between linear vector spaces  $[0, 1]^l$  and  $[0, 1]^n$ . We split this map into  $n$  separate maps  $F_k : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$  where  $F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l) = F_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , and consider each map  $F_k$  as a real function of  $l$  arguments. In the sequel, we will be keeping at this viewpoint.

Let us list basic properties of the map  $F_k : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1], k = 1, \dots, n$ :

- P1. (*linearity*) - for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in [0, 1]^l$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y} \in [0, 1]^l$ ,  $F_k(\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y}) = \alpha F_k(\mathbf{x}) + \beta F_k(\mathbf{y})$ ;
- P2. (*idempotency*) - for all  $c \in [0, 1], F_k(c, \dots, c) = c$ ;
- P3. (*non-decreasing*) - if  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in [0, 1]^l$  and  $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}$ , then  $F_k(\mathbf{x}) \leq F_k(\mathbf{y})$ ;
- P4. (*redundancy*) - if basic function  $A_k$  covers the set  $P_k = \{p_j | k_1 \leq j \leq k_2\}$ , then only those arguments  $x_j$  among  $x_1, \dots, x_l$ , whose indices are within the interval  $k_1 \leq j \leq k_2$ , are essential, i.e. for all  $x_1, \dots, x_l \in [0, 1], F_k(x_1, \dots, x_l) = F_k(0, \dots, x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_2}, \dots, 0)$ .

It easily follows from properties P1 and P3 that the map  $F_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , is monotonously non-decreasing. This fact together with the property P2 proves that the map  $F_k$  is an additive and idempotent *aggregation function*<sup>2</sup> (see [11]). Moreover, from property P4 we deduce that the following derived function  $F_k'(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_2}) = F_k(0, \dots, x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_2}, \dots, 0)$  with the reduced number  $l_k = (k_2 - k_1)$  of arguments is an aggregation function as well.

In the following section, we will analyze the inverse problem, i.e., under which conditions  $n$  aggregation functions determine the F-transform.

### 3 Discrete F-transform and Aggregation Functions

The goal of this section is to find conditions that characterize aggregation functions as the F-transform components.

#### 3.1 Aggregation functions and generic fuzzy partition

In this section, we will see that two kinds of properties: functional (additivity, etc.) and spacial (correspondence with a certain partition), should be demanded from a set of aggregation functions if we want them to represent the F-transform components.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $I_1, \dots, I_n, n > 2$ , be a (0-1)-generalized partition of  $[a, b]$  with nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and margins  $h'_k, h''_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , and let finite set  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_l \subseteq [a, b]\}$  where  $l \geq n$  be sufficiently dense with respect to it. Then for any additive, non-decreasing, idempotent aggregation functions  $F_1, \dots, F_n : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,*

<sup>2</sup> An aggregation function of  $l$  variables in  $[0, 1]$  is a function which is non-decreasing in each argument and idempotent at boundaries  $(0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(1, \dots, 1)$ .

that fulfill the property P4 (with respect to  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ ) there exists a fuzzy partition  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $[a, b]$  with the mask  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  such that for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , the  $k$ -th F-transform component  $F_k$  of any discrete function  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(p_j) = f_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , is the value of the corresponding aggregation function  $F_k$  at point  $(f_1, \dots, f_l)$ .

*Proof.* Let us fix  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and prove the assertion for the aggregation function  $F_k : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . By the assumption,  $F_k$  fulfills the properties in the formulation. From the first three, namely additivity, non-decreasing and idempotency, it follows (see, e.g., Proposition 4.21 from [11]) that there exist “weights”  $w_{k1}, \dots, w_{kl} \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^l w_{kj} = 1$  and

$$F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l) = \sum_{j=1}^l w_{kj} f_j, \text{ where } (f_1, \dots, f_l) \in [0, 1]^l. \quad (3)$$

Let  $P_k = \{p_j \mid k_1 \leq j \leq k_2\}$  be covered by  $I_k$ . By the assumption,  $P_k \neq \emptyset$ . By the property P4, for all  $f_1, \dots, f_l \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l) = F_k(0, \dots, f_{k_1}, \dots, f_{k_2}, \dots, 0)$ . Therefore,

$$F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l) = \sum_{j=1}^l w_{kj} f_j = \sum_{j=k_1}^{k_2} w_{kj} f_j.$$

In the above given equality,  $(f_1, \dots, f_l)$  is an arbitrary vector in  $[0, 1]^l$ , and this fact implies that coefficients  $w_{kj} = 0$ , if  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\} \setminus \{k_1, \dots, k_2\}$ . Let us define the basic function  $A_k$  on  $P$  as

$$A_k(p_j) = \begin{cases} w_{kj}, & \text{if } k_1 \leq j \leq k_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

and prove that the  $k$ -th F-transform component  $F_k$  of arbitrary function  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , that is computed on the basis of (1) with respect to the above given  $A_k$ , equals aggregation  $F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l)$  where  $f_j = f(p_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ . Indeed,

$$F_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^l f(p_j) A_k(p_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^l A_k(p_j)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^l f_j w_{kj}}{\sum_{j=1}^l w_{kj}} = F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l).$$

To complete the proof it is sufficient to show that  $A_k$  can be continuously extended to the whole interval  $[a, b]$  with the mask  $I_k$ .

By the *locality* of a generalized fuzzy partition,  $I_k(x) > 0$  if and only if  $x \in (x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k)$ . By (4),  $A_k(p_j) > 0$  if and only if  $p_j \in P_k$ . Because  $P_k$  is covered by  $I_k$ ,  $P_k \subset (x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k)$ . Therefore, on the first step we construct a continuous extension of  $A_k$  to  $[x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k]$ . It can be obtained if we continuously connect the following points on the real plane:  $(x_k - h'_k, 0)$ ,  $(p_{k_1}, w_{k,k_1})$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(p_{k_2}, w_{k,k_2})$ ,  $(x_k + h''_k, 0)$ . On the second step we put  $A_k(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b] \setminus [x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k]$ , which is a continuous extension of  $A_k$  to  $[a, b] \setminus [x_k - h'_k, x_k + h''_k]$ . It is easy to see that thus extended  $A_k$  fulfills all requirements from Definition 1.

In the following corollary we unify aggregation functions into one matrix  $W$  so that the vector of F-transform components of  $f$  is the product of  $W$  by the vector of  $f$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then for any additive, non-decreasing, idempotent aggregation functions  $F_1, \dots, F_n : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , that fulfill the property P4, there exists a  $n \times l$  matrix  $W$  such that the F-transform  $F_n[f] = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  of any discrete function  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(p_j) = f_j, j = 1, \dots, l$ , can be computed by the product  $W\mathbf{f}$  where  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_l)$ , i.e.*

$$F_n[f] = W\mathbf{f}. \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* Under the denotation of Theorem 1 and its proof, elements  $w_{kj}$  of the matrix  $W$  are weights that determine aggregation functions in accordance with (3).

We say that  $W$  is an *aggregation matrix that corresponds to the F-transform*.

### 3.2 Aggregation functions and centered fuzzy partition

This section is focused on fuzzy partitions that are centered at nodes. Our goal is to analyze under which conditions aggregating functions represent the F-transform with respect to this type of partition.

Let us consider aggregation functions of  $l$  variables, each one runs over  $[0, 1]$ . We say that the point  $\mathbf{y} \in [0, 1]^l$  is a result of a *point-spread noise* applied to a point  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^l$  if both points differ exactly in one coordinate.

**Definition 3.** Let  $F : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an aggregation function,  $1 \leq s \leq l$  and  $\mathbf{0}_q \in [0, 1]^l$  be a point whose coordinates are 0s, except for the  $q$ -th one which is equal to 1. We say that aggregation  $F$  works as a “noise damper” centered at  $s$ , if it fulfills the following condition:

$$\text{if } (s \leq q_2 < q_1 \leq l) \text{ or } (1 \leq q_1 < q_2 \leq s) \text{ then } F(\mathbf{0}_{q_1}) \leq F(\mathbf{0}_{q_2}). \quad (6)$$

Let us explain the above given notions. In Definition 3, a point-spread noise in  $\mathbf{0}_q \in [0, 1]^l$  is represented by the value “1”. The “noise damper” centered at  $s$  means that the farther “1” is from the  $s$ -th coordinate, the less is the value of aggregation.

The following theorem shows that aggregating functions that fulfill conditions of Theorem 1 and work as noise dampers centered at certain nodes represent the F-transform components with respect to a fuzzy partition that is centered at these nodes.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $I_1, \dots, I_n, n > 2$ , be a  $(0-1)$ -generalized partition of  $[a, b]$  with nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and margins  $h'_k, h''_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , and let finite set  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_l \subseteq [a, b]\}$  where  $l \geq n$  be sufficiently dense with respect to it. Assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$  so that for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , there exists  $1 \leq j_k \leq l$  such that  $x_k = p_{j_k}$ . Let  $F_1, \dots, F_n : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be additive, non-decreasing, idempotent aggregation functions that*

fulfill the property P4 (with respect to  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ ) and work as noise dampers centered at respective positions  $j_1, \dots, j_n$ . Then there exists a fuzzy partition  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $[a, b]$  with the mask  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ , such that it is centered at nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , the  $k$ -th F-transform component  $F_k$  of any discrete function  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is equal to  $F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l)$  where  $f_j = f(p_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ .

*Proof.* Assume that assumptions above are fulfilled. Let us fix  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and prove the claim for the aggregation function  $F_k : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . By Theorem 1, there exist coefficients  $w_1, \dots, w_l \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^l w_j = 1$  and

$$F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l) = \sum_{j=1}^l w_j f_j, \text{ where } (f_1, \dots, f_l) \in [0, 1]^l. \quad (7)$$

Let  $P_k = \{p_j \mid k_1 \leq j \leq k_2\}$  be covered by  $I_k$ . By the assumption,  $x_k \in P_k$  so that  $x_k = p_{j_k}$  for some  $k_1 \leq j_k \leq k_2$ . Let us prove that the sequence of coefficients  $w_1, \dots, w_l$  non-strictly increases for  $i \leq j_k$  and non-strictly decreases for  $i \geq j_k$ , i.e.,

$$w_1 \leq \dots \leq w_{j_k} \geq w_{j_k+1} \geq \dots \geq w_l. \quad (8)$$

By (6), the aggregation function  $F_k$  works as a ‘‘noise damper’’ centered at  $j_k$ . Let  $1 \leq q \leq l$ , and  $\mathbf{0}_q$  be the  $l$ -tuple whose elements are 0s, except for the  $q$ -th one which is equal to 1. By (7),  $F_k(\mathbf{0}_q) = w_q$ . Therefore, by (6),

$$\text{if } (k \leq q_2 < q_1 \leq l) \text{ or } (1 \leq q_1 < q_2 \leq l) \text{ then } w_{q_1} \leq w_{q_2}.$$

This proves (8). The rest of the proof coincides with the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4 Inverse F-transform and Aggregation Functions

If we compare expressions (1) and (2) for the direct and inverse F-transform, then we see that they have similar structures. Therefore, the inverse F-transform is expected to be represented by aggregation functions too. The aim of this section is to find a relationship between a set of aggregation functions which determine the direct F-transform and another set of aggregation functions which determine the inverse F-transform.

Assume that the direct F-transform of a discrete function  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , where the set  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_l\} \subseteq [a, b]$  is sufficiently dense with respect to a certain fuzzy partition  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $[a, b]$ , is determined by a corresponding set of aggregation functions  $F_1, \dots, F_n : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for every  $(f_1, \dots, f_l) \in [0, 1]^l$ ,

$$F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^l f_j A_k(p_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^l A_k(p_j)}, k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (9)$$



By this we mean that the  $k$ -th F-transform component  $F_k$  of the function  $f$  is equal to  $F_k(f_1, \dots, f_l)$ , provided that  $f_j = f(p_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ .

The inverse F-transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  with respect to the same partition  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  is a function on  $P$  that is determined by another set of functions  $\hat{f}_j : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\hat{f}(p_j) = \hat{f}_j(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , where  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  are the F-transform components of  $f$  and

$$\hat{f}_j(F_1, \dots, F_n) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n F_k A_k(p_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^n A_k(p_j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l. \quad (10)$$

The following reasoning (similar to that in Subsection 2.2) aims at proving that the functions  $\hat{f}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , are aggregations. Indeed, the inverse F-transform (10) can be considered as a result of a linear map  $\hat{f} : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]^l$  between linear vector spaces  $[0, 1]^n$  and  $[0, 1]^l$ . We split this map into  $l$  separate maps  $\hat{f}_j : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  so that each one is a real function of  $n$  arguments.

The basic properties of  $\hat{f}_j : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$  are the same as they are for the maps  $F_k : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ : linearity, idempotency, non-decreasing and redundancy. The latter differs from the above formulated P4 in interchanging  $j$  and  $k$ . Let us give the precise formulation.

**P5. (redundancy)** - if a point  $p_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , is covered by several basic functions  $A_k$ , i.e.  $A_k(p_j) > 0$ , where  $j_1 \leq k \leq j_2$ , then only those arguments  $x_k$  among  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , whose indices are within the interval  $j_1 \leq k \leq j_2$ , are essential, i.e. for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\hat{f}_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \hat{f}_j(0, \dots, x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_2}, \dots, 0)$ .

Therefore, the maps  $\hat{f}_j : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$  are linear aggregation functions on  $[0, 1]^n$  that fulfill the property P5. Conversely, similarly to Theorem 1, any  $l$  additive, non-decreasing, idempotent aggregation functions  $\hat{f}_j$  on  $[0, 1]^n$  that fulfill the property P5 can be combined into one function  $\hat{f} : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\hat{f}(p_j) = \hat{f}_j(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ .

Our goal is to find conditions on aggregation functions  $F_1, \dots, F_n : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and aggregation functions  $\hat{f}_j : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , such that they determine the direct and inverse F-transforms with respect to the same partition  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . The following theorem gives the solution.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ ,  $n > 2$ , be a (0-1)-generalized partition of  $[a, b]$  with nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and margins  $h'_k, h''_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and let finite set  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_l \subseteq [a, b]\}$  where  $l \geq n$  be sufficiently dense with respect to it. Then for any additive, non-decreasing, idempotent aggregation functions  $F_1, \dots, F_n : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , that fulfill the property P4 there exist additive, non-decreasing, idempotent aggregation functions  $\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_l : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , that fulfill the property P5, both with respect to  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ , and a fuzzy partition  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $[a, b]$  with the mask  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  such that for any discrete function  $f : P \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(p_j) = f_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ ,*

- (i) *the F-transform component  $F_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , of  $f$  is the value of the corresponding aggregation function  $F_k$  at point  $(f_1, \dots, f_l)$ ,*
- (ii) *the inverse F-transform  $\hat{f}(p_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , is equal to the corresponding aggregation function  $\hat{f}_j$  at point  $(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ .*

In Corollary 1, the aggregation matrix  $W$  that corresponds to the F-transform was introduced. A similar result will be established for the inverse F-transform.

**Corollary 2.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled and  $W = (w_{kj})$  be a  $n \times l$  matrix that corresponds to the F-transform so that for a function  $f$ , (5) holds. Then the related inverse F-transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  is characterized by the  $l \times n$  matrix  $\tilde{W} = (\tilde{w}_{jk})$  so that*

$$\hat{f} = \tilde{W}F_n[f]$$

where

$$\tilde{w}_{jk} = \frac{w_{kj}}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_{kj}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

## Conclusion

In this contribution, we focused on a relationship between the F-transform and aggregation functions. We showed that the F-transform components can be obtained by linear aggregation functions that respect a fuzzy partition of a universe. On the other side, we discovered conditions that should be added to a set of linear aggregation functions in order to obtain the F-transform components. Similarly, the inverse F-transform can be associated with another set of linear aggregation functions that respect a fuzzy partition of a co-universe. Two sets of linear aggregation functions that are associated with the direct and inverse F-transforms are connected via the so called aggregation matrix. The relationship between two analyzed notions is based on a new (generalized) definition of a fuzzy partition without the Ruspini condition.

**Acknowledgements** This work relates to Department of the Navy Grant N62909-12-1-7039 issued by Office of Naval Research Global. The United States Government has a royalty-free license throughout the world in all copyrightable material contained herein. Additional support was given also by the European Regional Development Fund in the IT4Innovations Centre of Excellence project. (CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0070).

## References

1. I. Perfilieva, in *LNAI 3849. Fuzzy Logic and Applications*, ed. by I. Bloch, A. Petrosino, A. Tetamanzi (Springer, Heidelberg, 2006), pp. 19–31
2. F. Di Martino, V. Loia, I. Perfilieva, S. Sessa, *Int. Journ. of Appr. Reasoning* **48**, 110 (2008)
3. P. Hurtik, I. Perfilieva, in *Advances in Intelligent and Soft Computing. Proc. Intern. Conf. on Soft Computing Models in Industrial and Environmental Applications (SoCo2012)* (2012), pp. 525–532
4. P. Hurtik, I. Perfilieva, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* (submitted)
5. P. Hurtik, I. Perfilieva, in *Proc. of the Intern. MIBISOC2013 Conference, Brussels, 2013* (to appear)
6. M. Daňková, P. Hodáková, I. Perfilieva, M. Vajgl, in *Proc. Intelligent Systems Design and Applications (ISDA2011)* (Cordoba, Spain, 2011), pp. 672–677

7. I. Perfilieva, P. Hodáková, P. Hurtik, in *Advances on Computational Intelligence (IPMU2012)* (Catania, Italy, 2012), pp. 230–239
8. E. Barrenechea, H. Bustince, B. De Baets, C. Lopez-Molina, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems* **19**(5), 819 (2011)
9. G. Beliakov, H. Bustince, D. Paternain, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* **21**(3), 1070 (2012)
10. I. Perfilieva, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **157**, 993 (2006)
11. M. Grabisch, J.L. Marichal, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Aggregation Functions* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2009)